arXiv:1602.00654v2 [math.RT] 26 May 2016

A REPRESENTATION STABILITY THEOREM FOR VI-MODULES

WEE LIANG GAN AND JOHN WATTERLOND

Abstract. A VI-module gives rise to a sequence of representations of the finite general linear groups. We prove that the sequence obtained from any finitely generated VI-module over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is representation stable.

1. Introduction

The theory of representation stability was initiated by Church and Farb in their paper [3]. One of the main themes in this theory is to study, for an increasing chain of groups $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \cdots$, the asymptotic behavior of certain sequences

$$V_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \cdots \tag{1.1}$$

where each V_n is a representation of G_n, and each ϕ_n is a linear map. The sequence (1.1) is called a *consistent sequence* if, for every non-negative integer n and for every $g \in G_n$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_n & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & V_{n+1} \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ V_n & \xrightarrow[\phi_n]{} & V_{n+1} \end{array}$$

(where g acts on V_{n+1} by considering it as an element of G_{n+1}).

For the family of symmetric groups S_n, it was discovered by Church, Ellenberg and Farb in [1] that many interesting consistent sequences of representations of S_n can be packaged into an FI-module, where FI is the category of finite sets and injective maps. An FI-module over a commutative ring $\Bbbk$ is, by definition, a functor from FI to the category of $\Bbbk$-modules; thus, an FI-module V gives rise to a consistent sequence (1.1) where $V_n = V(\{1, \ldots, n\})$ and ϕ_n is induced by the standard inclusion $\{1, \ldots, n\} \hookrightarrow \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$. One of the main results of [1] is that the consistent sequence obtained from a finitely generated FI-module V over a field of characteristic zero is representation stable in the sense of [3].

Fix a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order q. The purpose of our present paper is to prove an analogous result for the family of finite general linear groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The role of the category FI will be played, in our paper, by the category VI whose objects are the finite dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and whose morphisms are the injective linear maps.

Definition 1.1. (i) A VI-*module* over a commutative ring $\Bbbk$ is a functor from the category VI to the category of $\Bbbk$-modules.

(ii) A *homomorphism* $F : U \to V$ of VI-modules is a natural transformation from the functor U to the functor V.

(iii) Suppose U and V are VI-modules such that $U(X)$ is a $\Bbbk$-submodule of $V(X)$ for every object X of VI. We call U a VI-*submodule* of V if the collection of inclusion maps $U(X) \hookrightarrow V(X)$ defines a homomorphism $U \to V$ of VI-modules.

The category of VI-modules over a commutative ring $\Bbbk$ is an abelian category.

Notation 1.2. Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$ be the set of non-negative integers. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we denote by $\mathbf{n}$ the object $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ of VI.

The full subcategory of VI generated by the objects $\mathbf{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is a skeleton of VI. One has $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Notation 1.3. Suppose V is a VI-module. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set $V_n = V(\mathbf{n})$ and denote by $\phi_n : V_n \to V_{n+1}$ the map assigned by V to the standard inclusion $\mathbf{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}$.

The sequence (1.1) obtained from a VI-module V is a consistent sequence of representations of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Definition 1.4. A VI-module V is *generated by* a subset $S \subset \bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_n$ if the only VI-submodule of V containing S is V; we say that V is *finitely generated* if it is generated by a finite subset S.

In order to state our main results, let us briefly recall the parametrization of irreducible representations of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, a more detailed discussion of which will be given below in Section 2.

Let $\mathscr{C}_n$ be the set of cuspidal irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (up to isomorphism), and let $\mathscr{C} = \bigsqcup_{n\geqslant 1} \mathscr{C}_n$. If $\rho \in \mathscr{C}_n$, we set $\mathrm{d}(\rho) = n$. By a partition, we mean a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ where only finitely many terms are non-zero. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ is a partition, we set $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots$. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the set of partitions. For any function $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$, let

$$\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = \sum_{\rho\in\mathscr{C}} \mathrm{d}(\rho)|\boldsymbol{\mu}(\rho)|.$$

Then, following Zelevinsky [12], one has a natural parametrization of the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by functions $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = n$; we shall denote by $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ parametrized by $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

Let ι be the trivial representation of $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Then $\iota \in \mathscr{C}_1$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ is a function and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\iota) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$. If n is an integer $\geqslant \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| + \lambda_1$, we define the function $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n] : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n]\| = n$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n](\rho) = \begin{cases} (n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots) & \text{if } \rho = \iota, \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\rho) & \text{if } \rho \neq \iota. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, for each function $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| < \infty$, there exists a unique function $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n]$, where $n = \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|$.

Definition 1.5. A consistent sequence (1.1) of representations of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is *representation stable* if there exists an integer N such that for each $n \geqslant N$, the following three conditions hold:

(RS1) **Injectivity:** The map $\phi_n : V_n \longrightarrow V_{n+1}$ is injective.
(RS2) **Surjectivity:** The span of the $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$-orbit of $\phi_n(V_n)$ is all of V_{n+1}.
(RS3) **Multiplicities:** There is a decomposition

$$V_n = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$$

where the multiplicities $0 \leqslant c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \leqslant \infty$ do not depend on n.

The naming of the notion defined above is consistent with [4, Definition 3.1]; in [1, Definition 3.3.2] and [3, Definition 2.6], this is called *uniformly* representation stable. Our main result reads:

Theorem 1.6. *Let V be a* VI*-module over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then V is finitely generated if and only if the consistent sequence (1.1) obtained from V is representation stable and* $\dim(V_n) < \infty$ *for each n.*

After some preparation in Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.6 in Section 4. In Section 5, we deduce the following result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let V be a finitely generated* VI*-module over a field of characteristic zero. Then there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ and an integer N such that*

$$\dim(V_n) = P(q^n) \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant N.$$

The various steps involved in the proof of Theorem 1.6 is summarized in the following diagram.

(1.2)



Implication (i) in (1.2) was proved by the first author and Li in [5, Theorem 3.7 and Example 3.10]. (More generally, Putman and Sam [9, Theorem A], and Sam and Snowden [10, Corollary 8.3.3], proved implication (i) over any noetherian ring.) Implications (ii) and (iii) are straightforward; see [5, Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2] for their proofs. Implications (iv) and (v) will be proved in the present paper by adapting the proofs for FI-modules due to Church, Ellenberg and Farb in [1]. A difference between our proof and theirs is that we do not try to minimize the N in Definition 1.5; we hope this streamlines the proof and makes it easier for the reader to grasp the essence of their argument, which is really short and nice.

We deduce Theorem 1.7 from Theorem 1.6 using the hook-length formula for dimensions of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The corresponding result for FI-modules was proved

in [1, Theorem 1.5] via character polynomials. (More generally, it was proved for FI-modules over a field of any characteristic in [2, Theorem B].)

Let us also mention that if V is a finitely presented VI-module over a commutative ring, then it is known (independently by [6] and [9]) that the representations V_n have an inductive description.

2. Representations of finite general linear groups

Irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero were first classified by Green [7]. We collect in this section the basic facts we need, following [11] and [12].

2.1. **Notations.** From now on, we let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero; by a representation or a VI-module, we mean a representation or a VI-module over $\Bbbk$.

For any finite group G, we write $R(G)$ for the Grothendieck group of the category of finite dimensional representations of G. If π is a representation of G, we write π^G for the subspace of G-invariants of π, and π_G for the quotient space of G-coinvariants of π.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} R(G_n).$$

If $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n = m + r$, let $P_{m,r} \subset G_n$ be the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ 0 & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } g_{11} \in G_m,\ g_{22} \in G_r. \tag{2.1}$$

Define the subgroups $G_{m,r}$, $H_{m,r}$, $U_{m,r}$ of $P_{m,r}$ by the conditions that, for any element p of the form (2.1), one has:

$$\begin{aligned} p \in G_{m,r} &\iff g_{12} = 0, \\ p \in H_{m,r} &\iff g_{11} = 1_m, \\ p \in U_{m,r} &\iff g_{11} = 1_m \text{ and } g_{22} = 1_r, \end{aligned}$$

where, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we write 1_m for the identity element of G_m.

If π_1 is a representation of G_m and π_2 is a representation of G_r, we denote by $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ the representation of G_{m+r} obtained from the external tensor product $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ by parabolic induction via $P_{m,r}$. This defines a multiplication on R. It is a well-known result of Green [7, Lemma 2.5] that R is a commutative graded ring.

2.2. **Decomposition into a tensor product.** By definition, an irreducible representation ρ of G_n is *cuspidal* if

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{n-1} \rho^{U_{m,n-m}} = 0.$$

Recall that we denote by $\mathscr{C}_n$ the set of cuspidal irreducible representations of G_n (up to isomorphism), and write $\mathscr{C}$ for $\bigsqcup_{n \geqslant 1} \mathscr{C}_n$. For each $\rho \in \mathscr{C}$, let $R(\rho)$ be the additive subgroup of R generated by all $\pi \in R$ such that π is a subrepresentation of $\rho^{\times r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Fact 2.1 ([12, §9])**.** *For each $\rho \in \mathscr{C}$, the additive subgroup $R(\rho)$ of R is a subring of R. Moreover, the multiplication map*

$$\bigotimes_{\rho\in\mathscr{C}} R(\rho) \longrightarrow R \tag{2.2}$$

is a ring isomorphism.

The tensor product in (2.2) is defined as the inductive limit

$$\varinjlim_{\mathscr{S}} \bigotimes_{\rho\in\mathscr{S}} R(\rho)$$

where $\mathscr{S}$ runs over the finite subsets of $\mathscr{C}$ partially ordered by inclusion.

2.3. **Ring of symmetric functions.** Let

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \Lambda_r$$

be the graded ring of symmetric functions in an infinite countable set of variables (see [8, Chapter 1] or [12, §5]). For each partition $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$, we write s_λ for the Schur function corresponding to λ. It is well-known that, for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the Schur functions s_λ with $|\lambda| = r$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for Λ_r.

Fact 2.2 ([12, §9])**.** *For each $\rho \in \mathscr{C}$, there is a natural isomorphism of rings*

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} R(\rho),$$

denoted by

$$f \mapsto f(\rho),$$

such that if $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n = r \cdot \mathrm{d}(\rho)$, then the elements $s_\lambda(\rho)$ with $|\lambda| = r$ are irreducible representations of G_n.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we write h_n for the n-th complete symmetric function, and ι_n for the trivial representation of G_n. Recall that $\iota = \iota_1$ and $\iota \in \mathscr{C}_1$.

Fact 2.3 ([12, §9])**.** *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, one has $h_n(\iota) = \iota_n$.*

2.4. **Classification of irreducible representations.** From Fact 2.1 and Fact 2.2, one can deduce the following parametrization of the irreducible representations of the groups G_n.

Fact 2.4 ([12, §9])**.** *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the irreducible representations of the group G_n are parametrized by the functions $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = n$. Under this parametrization, the irreducible representation $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is*

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{\rho\in\mathscr{C}} s_{\boldsymbol{\mu}(\rho)}(\rho).$$

For our purposes, we do not need an explicit parametrization of the set $\mathscr{C}$.

2.5. **Pieri's formula.** The Pieri's formula plays a central role in the proof of Theorem 1.6. We find it convenient to use the following (non-standard) notation.

Notation 2.5. For any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathscr{P}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we write $\mu \sim \lambda + r$ if the Young diagram of μ can be obtained by adding r boxes to the Young diagram of λ with no two boxes added in the same column. Similarly, we write $\lambda \sim \mu - r$ if the Young diagram of λ can be obtained by removing r boxes from the Young diagram of μ with no two boxes removed from the same column. (Thus, one has $\mu \sim \lambda + r$ if and only if $\lambda \sim \mu - r$.)

Fact 2.6 (Pieri's formula [8, Chapter 1, (5.16)])**.** *For each $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, one has*

$$s_\lambda h_r = \sum_{\mu \sim \lambda + r} s_\mu$$

To apply Pieri's formula to representations of the groups G_n, we shall use the following notation.

Notation 2.7. For any functions $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we write $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda} + r$ if $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\iota) \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\iota) + r$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\rho) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\rho)$ for all $\rho \neq \iota$. Similarly, we write $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \sim \boldsymbol{\mu} - r$ if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\iota) \sim \boldsymbol{\mu}(\iota) - r$, and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\rho) = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\rho)$ for all $\rho \neq \iota$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ is a function such that $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| = m$. Then*

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \iota_r = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda} + r} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

Proof. One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \iota_r &= \left(\prod_{\rho \in \mathscr{C}} s_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\rho)}(\rho) \right) \times h_r(\iota) && \text{(by Facts 2.3 and 2.4)} \\
&= \bigoplus_{\mu \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\iota) + r} \left(s_\mu(\iota) \times \prod_{\rho \neq \iota} s_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\rho)}(\rho) \right) && \text{(by Facts 2.2 and 2.6)} \\
&= \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda} + r} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu}) && \text{(by Fact 2.4).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n = m + r$. If π is a representation of G_n, then $\pi^{U_{m,r}}$ is a representation of $G_{m,r}$, and $\pi^{H_{m,r}}$ is a representation of G_m.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ is a function such that $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = m + r$. Then*

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})^{H_{m,r}} = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \sim \boldsymbol{\mu} - r} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

Proof. Let $n = m + r$. For any function $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| = m$, the multiplicity of $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \boxtimes \iota_r$ in $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})^{U_{m,r}}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{G_{m,r}} \left(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})^{U_{m,r}}, \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \boxtimes \iota_r\right) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{G_n} \left(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu}), \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \times \iota_r\right)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sim \boldsymbol{\mu} - r, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Frobenius reciprocity for parabolic induction [12, §8.1], and the second equality follows from Lemma 2.8.

Since $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})^{H_{m,r}} = \left(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})^{U_{m,r}}\right)^{G_r}$, the result follows. □

3. Weak stability and weight boundedness

In this section, we define the notions of weak stability and weight boundedness for a VI-module, and prove that every finitely generated VI-module is weakly stable and weight bounded.

3.1. **The VI-module *M(m).*** For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define a VI-module $M(m)$ by

$$M(m)(-) = \Bbbk \, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathbf{m}, -),$$

that is, $M(m)$ is the composition of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathbf{m}, -)$ followed by the free $\Bbbk$-module functor. It is plain (see, for example, [5, Lemma 2.14]) that a VI-module V is finitely generated if and only if there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$M(m_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(m_d) \longrightarrow V \quad \text{for some } m_1, \ldots, m_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n = m + r$. Then*

$$M(m)_n = \pi \times \iota_r,$$

where π is the regular representation of G_m.

Proof. The group G_n acts transitively on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ and the stabilizer of the standard inclusion $\mathbf{m} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{n}$ is $H_{m,r}$. The result follows from the isomorphism

$$\Bbbk[G_n/H_{m,r}] = \Bbbk[G_n] \otimes_{\Bbbk[P_{m,r}]} \Bbbk[G_m].$$

□

3.2. **Weak stability.** Consider a consistent sequence (1.1) with $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n = m + r$. The map $\phi_n : V_n \to V_{n+1}$ descends to a map

$$\phi_{m,r} : (V_n)_{H_{m,r}} \longrightarrow (V_{n+1})_{H_{m,r+1}} \tag{3.1}$$

which is a homomorphism of representations of the group G_m.

Definition 3.2. A consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ with $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is *weakly stable* if for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for each $r \geqslant s$, the map $\phi_{m,r}$ of (3.1) is an isomorphism. A VI-module V is *weakly stable* if the consistent sequence obtained from V is weakly stable (see Notation 1.3).

One can also define a stronger notion by requiring that the integer s in Definition 3.2 can be chosen independently of $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (see [1, Definition 3.1.3]).

Remark 3.3. Consider a consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ with $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Supppose that $\dim(V_n) < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and consider the sequence of maps

$$(V_m)_{H_{m,0}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{m,0}} (V_{m+1})_{H_{m,1}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{m,1}} (V_{m+2})_{H_{m,2}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{m,2}} \cdots .$$

It is plain that if $\phi_{m,r}$ is surjective for all r sufficiently large, then $\phi_{m,r}$ is bijective for all r sufficiently large.

Lemma 3.4. *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the* VI*-module $M(m)$ is weakly stable.*

Proof. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We claim that for each $r \geqslant m$, the map

$$\phi_{\ell,r} : (M(m)_n)_{H_{\ell,r}} \longrightarrow (M(m)_{n+1})_{H_{\ell,r+1}} \qquad (\text{where } n = \ell + r)$$

is surjective. By Remark 3.3, this will imply that $M(m)$ is weakly stable.

Suppose $r \geqslant m$ and $n = \ell + r$. We write the elements of $M(m)_{n+1}$ as $(n+1) \times m$-matrices of rank m. For every such matrix A, we can multiply it on the left by an element g of $H_{\ell,r+1}$ so that the last row of gA is zero. The element gA lies in the image of $\phi_n : M(m)_n \to M(m)_{n+1}$. It follows that $\phi_{\ell,r}$ is surjective, as claimed. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let V be a finitely generated* VI*-module. Then V is weakly stable.*

Proof. Since V is finitely generated, there exists $m_1, \ldots, m_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a surjective homomorphism $M \to V$ where $M = M(m_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(m_d)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By Lemma 3.4, the VI-module M is weakly stable. Thus, there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\phi_{m,r} : (M_{m+r})_{H_{m,r}} \longrightarrow (M_{m+r+1})_{H_{m,r+1}}$$

is an isomorphism for every $r \geqslant s$. In the following commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M_{m+r})_{H_{m,r}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{m,r}} & (M_{m+r+1})_{H_{m,r+1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (V_{m+r})_{H_{m,r}} & \xrightarrow[\phi_{m,r}]{} & (V_{m+r+1})_{H_{m,r+1}} \end{array}$$

the two vertical maps are surjective, so if the top horizontal map is surjective, then so is the lower horizontal map. By Remark 3.3, the result follows. □

3.3. **Weight boundedness.** Recall that for every function $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| < \infty$, there is a unique function $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n]$, where $n = \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|$. The following definition is analogous to [1, Definition 3.2.1].

Definition 3.6. A consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ with $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is *weight bounded* if there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and every irreducible subrepresentation $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])$ of V_n, one has $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant a$; we call the minimal such a the *weight* of the consistent sequence. A VI-module V is *weight bounded* if the consistent sequence obtained from V is weight bounded, and we define the *weight* of V to be the weight of its consistent sequence.

Proposition 3.7. *Let V be a finitely generated* VI*-module. Then V is weight bounded.*

Proof. Since every quotient of a weight bounded VI-module is also weight bounded, it suffices to show that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the VI-module $M(m)$ is weight bounded.

Let $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n = m + r$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ be a function with $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = n$. Suppose that the irreducible representation $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ of G_n is a subrepresentation of $M(m)_n$. By Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 3.1, there exists a function $\boldsymbol{\nu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\| = m$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\nu} + r$. In particular, the number of columns in the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\iota)$ is at least r. If $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n]$, then the number of columns in the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\iota)$ is $n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|$, so

$$\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant n - r = m.$$

□

Remark 3.8. The *generating degree* of a nonzero VI-module V is the smallest $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ such that V is generated by $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{m} V_n$. The proof of the above proposition shows that if V is nonzero and has generating degree m, then the weight of V is at most m.

4. Multiplicity stability

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.6 by showing that every VI-module which is weakly stable and weight bounded is multiplicity stable.

4.1. **Proof of multiplicity stability.** The proof of the following key proposition is an adaptation of the arguments in the proof of [1, Proposition 3.3.3].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ be a consistent sequence with $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose that $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ is weakly stable and weight bounded. Then there exists an integer N such that for each $n \geqslant N$, the consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ satisfies condition (RS3) in Definition 1.5.*

Proof. Let a be the weight of the consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$. By weak stability, we can choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the map $\phi_{m,r}$ of (3.1) is an isomorphism whenever $m \leqslant a$ and $r \geqslant s$. Let $N = \max\{a + s, 2a\}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$V_n = \bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant a} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, n)} \qquad (\text{where } 0 \leqslant c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, n) \leqslant \infty) \tag{4.1}$$

be a decomposition of V_n into a direct sum of irreducible representations of G_n. We claim that if $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant a$ and $n \geqslant N$, then one has $c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, n) = c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, N)$. We shall prove the claim by induction on $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m \leqslant a$. Assume that $c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, n) = c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, N)$ whenever $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| < m$ and $n \geqslant N$. (This assumption is vacuously true for $m = 0$.)

Suppose $n \geqslant N$, and set $r = n - m$. Taking $H_{m,r}$-invariants on both sides of (4.1), and applying Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (V_n)^{H_{m,r}} &= \bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant a} \left(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])^{H_{m,r}}\right)^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, n)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant a} \left(\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n] - r} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind that the number of columns in the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n](\iota)$ is $n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|$, we make the following observations:

- If $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| > m$, then $n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| < n - m = r$. In this case, there is no function $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n] - r$.
- If $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| = m$, then $n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| = n - m = r$. In this case, the only function $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n] - r$ is $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

Hence, we obtain

$$(V_n)^{H_{m,r}} = \left(\bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|<m} \left(\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\sim\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n]-r} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda},N)} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|=m} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda},n)} \right). \tag{4.2}$$

Since $r = n - m \geqslant N - a \geqslant s$, the map $\phi_{m,r}$ of (3.1) is an isomorphism, and so we have isomorphisms of G_m-representations

$$(V_n)^{H_{m,r}} \cong (V_n)_{H_{m,r}} \cong (V_{n+1})_{H_{m,r+1}} \cong (V_{n+1})^{H_{m,r+1}}. \tag{4.3}$$

We claim that if $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \leqslant a$, then

$$\{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n] - r\} = \{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n+1] - (r+1)\}. \tag{4.4}$$

It is clear that the left hand side is a subset of the right hand side. To see that the right hand side is contained in the left hand side, we note that

$$r + 1 > n - m \geqslant N - a \geqslant a \geqslant \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \geqslant |\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\iota)|,$$

so if the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\iota)$ is obtained from the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n+1](\iota)$ by the removal of $r+1$ boxes, then one of the $r+1$ boxes removed must be from the first row.

It follows from (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) that we have an isomorphism of G_m-representations

$$\bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|=m} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda},n)} \cong \bigoplus_{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|=m} \varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda})^{\oplus c(\boldsymbol{\lambda},n+1)}.$$

Hence, if $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| = m$, then $c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, N) = c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, N+1) = c(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, N+2) = \cdots$. This completes the proof of the inductive step. □

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.6.** As explained in Section 1, we only have to prove implications (iv) and (v) in (1.2).

Implication (iv) is the combined statements of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.7. Implication (v) is immediate from Proposition 4.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Remark 4.2. We would like to point out that the noetherian property of VI is not used in the proofs of implications (iv) and (v). (In [1], although the noetherian property of FI is not used in the proof of [1, Proposition 3.3.3], it is used in the first paragraph in the proof of [1, Theorem 1.13] to show that [1, Proposition 3.3.3] can be applied.)

One can also deduce condition (RS1) from weak stability and weight boundedness. We give the proof below, which is adapted from the proof of [1, Proposition 3.3.3]. It follows that a proof of Theorem 1.6 can be given without using the noetherian property at all.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ be a consistent sequence with $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose that $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ is weakly stable and weight bounded. Then there exists an integer N such that for each $n \geqslant N$, the consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ satisfies condition (RS1) in Definition 1.5.*

Proof. Let a be the weight of the consistent sequence $\{V_n, \phi_n\}$ and choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the maps $\phi_{a,r}$ are isomorphisms for every $r \geqslant s$. Let $N = a + s$ and suppose that $n \geqslant N$. Set $r = n - a$. Let K_n be the kernel of $\phi_n : V_n \to V_{n+1}$. Then $(K_n)_{H_{a,r}}$ is contained in the kernel of $\phi_{a,r}$. Since $r \geqslant s$, the map $\phi_{a,r}$ is injective, so $(K_n)_{H_{a,r}} = 0$; equivalently, one has $(K_n)^{H_{a,r}} = 0$. If $K_n \neq 0$, then it contains an irreducible subrepresentation $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])$ for some $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$. The number of columns in the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n](\iota)$ is $n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|$. But $n - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| \geqslant r$, so there exists $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mu} \sim \boldsymbol{\lambda}[n] - r$. It follows by Lemma 2.9 that $\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])^{H_{a,r}} \neq 0$. Thus $(K_n)^{H_{a,r}} \neq 0$, a contradiction. Therefore we must have $K_n = 0$ when $n \geqslant N$. □

5. Dimension growth

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7 using Theorem 1.6 and the hook-length formula.

5.1. **Hook-length formula.** For each $n \geqslant 1$, let

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q^i - 1).$$

Suppose $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ is a partition. We set

$$\varepsilon(\lambda) = \sum_{i \geqslant 1} (i-1)\lambda_i.$$

We denote by $h(x)$ the hook-length at the box $x \in \lambda$ of the Young diagram of λ. Let

$$\Psi_\lambda(q) = q^{\varepsilon(\lambda)} \cdot \prod_{x \in \lambda} \left(q^{h(x)} - 1\right)^{-1}.$$

Let us recall the hook-length formula for the dimension of an irreducible representation of the group G_n.

Fact 5.1 ([12, Proposition 11.10])**.** *Let $n \geqslant 1$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ be a function such that $\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| = n$. Then*

$$\dim\left(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) = \Phi_n(q) \cdot \prod_{\rho \in \mathscr{C}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}(\rho)}\left(q^{\mathrm{d}(\rho)}\right).$$

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.7.** We now prove Theorem 1.7. By extension of scalars, we may assume that V is a finitely generated VI-module over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. By Theorem 1.6, it suffices to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{P}$ be a function such that $\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\| = m$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ such that*

$$\dim(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])) = P(q^n) \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant N.$$

Proof. In the formula for $\dim(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n]))$ given by Fact 5.1, the only factors which depend on n are $\Phi_n(q)$ and $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n](\iota)}(q)$.

Write $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\iota)$ as $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$, and let $N = m + \lambda_1$. Suppose $n \geqslant N$. It is clear that $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n](\iota))$ is an integer independent of n. Moreover, the number of boxes in the first row of the Young diagram of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n](\iota)$ is $n - m$; the hook-lengths at these boxes are:

$$n - r_1,\ \ldots,\ n - r_{\lambda_1},\ n - N,\ \ldots,\ 2,\ 1.$$

for some $r_1 < \cdots < r_{\lambda_1} < N$. The integers $r_1, \ldots, r_{\lambda_1}$ do not depend on n. Let $s_1 < \cdots < s_m$ be the m integers such that

$$\{r_1, \ldots, r_{\lambda_1}\} \sqcup \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} = \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}.$$

It follows from Fact 5.1 that one has

$$\dim(\varphi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}[n])) = c(q^{n-s_1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-s_m} - 1)$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ which does not depend on n. Choosing $P \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ to be the polynomial

$$P(T) = c(q^{-s_1}T - 1) \cdots (q^{-s_m}T - 1)$$

of degree m, we are done. □

Remark 5.3. From the above proof, we see that the degree of the polynomial P in Theorem 1.7 is at most the weight of V.

References

[1] T. Church, J. Ellenberg, B. Farb, FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no. 9, 1833-1910, arXiv:1204.4533.

[2] T. Church, J. Ellenberg, B. Farb, R. Nagpal, FI-modules over Noetherian rings, Geom. Top. 18-5 (2014), 2951-2984, arXiv:1210.1854.

[3] T. Church, B. Farb, Representation theory and homological stability, Adv. Math. 245 (2013), 250-314, arXiv:1008.1368.

[4] B. Farb, Representation stability, Proc. International Congress of Mathematicians, Seoul 2014, Vol. II, 1173-1196, arXiv:1404.4065.

[5] W.L. Gan, L. Li, Noetherian property of infinite EI categories, New York J. Math. 21 (2015), 369-382, arXiv:1407.8235.

[6] W.L. Gan, L. Li, On central stability, arXiv:1504.07675.

[7] J.A. Green, The characters of the finite general linear groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1955), 402-447.

[8] I.G. MacDonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Second edition, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford Sci. Publ., The Clarendon Press, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.

[9] A. Putman, S. Sam, Representation stability and finite linear groups, arXiv:1408.3694.

[10] S. Sam, A. Snowden, Gröbner methods for representations of combinatorial categories, to appear in J. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv:1409.1670.

[11] T. Springer, A. Zelevinsky, Characters of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ and Hopf algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 30 (1984), no. 1, 27-43.

[12] A. Zelevinsky, Representations of finite classical groups. A Hopf algebra approach, Lecture Notes in Math., 869, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
E-mail address: wlgan@math.ucr.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
E-mail address: watterlond@math.ucr.edu